

Misc. Criminal Application No.1134 of 1996
and
Misc. Criminal Application No.1137 of 1996.

Coram: R.R. Jain, J.

March 22, 1996.

Order:

Heard Mr. Mansuri, learned advocate for the petitioner.

The impugned order dismissing Criminal Revision Applications No.190/95 and 191/95 was passed by this Court on 11.3.1996 and the order of trial court convicting the petitioner was upheld. At the time of pronouncement of this order a request was made on behalf of the petitioner to grant suitable time for surrendering before the trial court to serve out the sentence. Said oral request was granted by this court and the petitioner was granted time upto 25.3.1996 to surrender before the trial court for serving out the sentence. Today, vide both these applications, the petitioner has approached this court with a request for extension of time by eight weeks to enable him to surrender. In my view, no cogent reason is assigned which comes in way of the petitioner for surrendering before the trial court. One of the arguments that the petitioner is financially totally broken is no more a ground which shall weigh for grant of extension for surrendering before the trial court because for surrendering the petitioner is not required to make any payment or has to pay to any of the authority. The second contention that the certified copy of the judgment is not ready also is required to be considered for merely being rejected because for surrendering before the trial court for serving out the sentence no such copy is required. Similarly, even the jail authority also does not require copy of the judgment in a case where the petitioner himself surrenders to serve out the sentence.

Hence, finding no substance, both the petitions are rejected.

22.3.1996. (R.R.Jain, J.)